On the existence and stability of positive solution for a nonlinear fractional-order differential equation and some applications

Ahmed M. A. El-Sayed Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt email: amasayed@hotmail.com, amasayed@maktoob.com

Abstract

We are concerned here with a class of nonlinear fractional-order differential equations. We study the existence of a unique positive solution, its uniform stability and its global stability at the equilibrium points. The fractional-order logistic equation, replicator (hawk-dove (HD) game) equation, law of mass actions and some other examples will be considered as applications.

Keywords: Fractional-order differential equations; Global stability; Lyapunov stability; Equilibrium points; Logistic equation; Replicator equation; Riccati's equation; Law of mass action.

1 Introduction

First of all we give the definition of fractional-order integration and fractional-order differentiation

Definition 1.1 The fractional integral of order $\beta \in R^+$ of the function f(t), $t \ge a$ is defined by ([8], [9], [12] and [13])

$$I_{a}^{\beta}f(t) = \int_{a}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f(s) \, ds.$$
 (1.1)

The (Caputo) fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (n-1,n)$ of f(t), $t \ge a$ is defined by

$$D_a^{\alpha} f(t) = I_a^{n-\alpha} D^n f(t), \quad D = \frac{d}{dt}.$$
 (1.2)

 $D_{t_0}^{\alpha} x(t) = A(t) x(t) + f(t), \quad t > t_o \quad and \quad x(t_o) = x_o$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = A(t) \frac{d}{dt} I_{t_0}^{\alpha} x(t) + f(t), \quad t > t_o \quad \text{and} \quad x(t_o) = x_o$$

has been studied in [1].

The equilibrium points of the initial value problems of the logistic equation

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \rho \ x(t)(1 - x(t)), \quad t, \ \rho > 0, \quad \text{and} \ x(0) = x_o, \tag{1.3}$$

and of the fractional-order replicator (hawk-dove (HD) game) equation

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \rho \ x(t)(1 - x(t))(A - Bx(t)), \quad A, \ B, \ t, \ \rho > 0, \quad \text{and} \ x(0) = x_o$$
(1.4)

have been studied in [7] and [2] respectively. The authors in [7] and [2] evaluated the equilibrium points from the equation $D^{\alpha}x(t) = 0$ not from the equation $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = 0$ as usual. Theorem 3 here proved that these results are true and Theorem 2 proved the global stability of the solutions of (1.3) and (1.4).

Now let $a_k(t)$, $t \in I = [0,T]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ are given functions. We are concerned here with the initial value problem of the nonlinear fractional-order differential equation

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t))$$
(1.5)

with the initial data

$$x(0) = x_o. (1.6)$$

The initial value problem (1.5) - (1.6) is a general case of the initial value problem

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) \ x^{k}(t), \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_{o}$$
(1.7)

which has many applications. For examples the initial value problem of the fractional-order logistic equation (1.3), replicator equation (1.4), Ricati's equation [11]

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = a_{o}(t) + a_{1}(t) x(t) + a_{2}(t) x^{2}(t), \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_{o}, \tag{1.8}$$

the fractional-order law of mass action (second-order chemical reaction) [10]

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \rho (a_1 - x(t)) (a_2 - x(t)), \quad \rho, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_o, \tag{1.9}$$

the fractional-order law of mass action (third-order chemical reaction) [10]

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \rho (a_1 - x(t)) (a_2 - x(t)) (a_3 - x(t)), \quad \rho, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_o, \quad (1.10)$$

and the fractional-order Stefan's law of radiation

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \rho (x^{4}(t) - a), \ \rho, \ t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_{o},$$
 (1.11)

The existence of a unique positive solution $x \in C[0,T]$ of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) (under certain conditions) will be proved.

The stability of the solution of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) will be studied, also we prove that the equilibrium points of equation (1.5) are the same as the ones of the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)).$$

As applications, the initial value problems (1.3), (1.4) and (1.8) -(1.10) will be studied.

2 Existence and uniqueness

Let $I = [0,T], T < \infty$ and C(I), be the class of all continuous functions defined on I, with norm

$$|| x || = \sup_{t} | e^{-Nt} x(t) |, N > 0$$
(2.1)

which is equivalent to the sup-norm $||x|| = \sup_t |x(t)|$. When $t > \sigma \ge 0$ we write $C(I_{\sigma})$.

Let also $X = \{x \in L_1[0,T], e^{-Nt}x(t) \in L_1[0,T]\}$ with norm $||x||_X = ||e^{-Nt}x(t)||_{L_1}$ which is equivalent to the usual norm $||x||_{L_1} = \int_0^T |x(s)|ds$ of $L_1[0,T]$.

Consider now the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6) with the following assumptions; (1) $a_k(t) \in C^1[0,T], \ k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, the space of continuously differentiable functions on $I = [0,T], \ a_k > \sup |a_k(t)|$ and $a'_k > \sup |\frac{d}{dt}a_k(t)|$. (2) $F: D \to R^+, \ \forall t \in I, \ D \subset R^+$ where $F(x(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(t) \ f_k(x(t))$. (3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_k(x)$ exists and bounded on D.

Condition (3) implies that the functions f_k satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$|f_k(x) - f_k(y)| < C_k |x - y|, \quad C_k \ge |\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_k(x)|$$
(2.2)

Now we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.1 If the assumptions (1)-(3) are satisfied, then the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6) has a unique positive solution $x \in C(I)$, $x' \in C(I_{\sigma})$ and $x' \in X$. Moreover if $a'_{k}(t) \neq 0$ and $a_{k}(0) = 0$ or $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(0)f(x_{o}) = 0$, then $x' \in C(I)$. **Proof.** From the properties of the fractional calculus and the problem (1.5)-(1.6) we have

$$I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t)).$$

Integrating α -times we obtain

$$x(t) = x_o + I^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)).$$
(2.3)

Now let the operator $A: C(I) \to C(I)$ be defined by

$$Ax(t) = x_o + I^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)).$$
(2.4)

The operator A transforms every positive function $x \in C(I)$ into a function of the same type.

Now we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-Nt}(Ax - Ay)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-N(t-s)} \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k(t)| |e^{-Ns}(f_k(x(s)) - f_k(y(s))| \, ds \\ &\leq K \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-N(t-s)} |e^{-Ns}(x(s) - y(s)| \, ds, \quad K > \sum_{k=0}^n C_k |a_k(t)| \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$|e^{-Nt}(Ax - Ay)| \leq ||x - y|| K \int_0^t \frac{s^{\alpha - 1}e^{-Ns}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds < \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} ||x - y||.$$

Choose N such that $N^{\alpha} > K$ we deduce that

||Ax - Ay|| < ||x - y||

and the operator A has a unique fixed point. Consequently the integral equation (2.3) has a unique positive solution $x \in C(I)$. Also we can deduce that ([6])

$$(I^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t)))|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Now from Eq. (2.3) we formally have

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{ a_k(0)f_k(x_o) \ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + I^{\alpha} \ a_k(t) \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f_k(x(t)) \ \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + \frac{d}{dt}a_k(t)f_k(x(t)) \ \}$$

and

$$|e^{-Nt} \frac{d}{dt}x(t)| < \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{|a_{k}(0)f_{k}(x_{o})| e^{-Nt} \frac{\sigma^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + a_{k}' \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-N(t-s)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |e^{-Ns}f_{k}(x(s))| ds \} + K \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-N(t-s)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |e^{-Ns} \frac{d}{dt}x(s)| ds, \qquad (2.5)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} ||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)|| &< \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{ a_{k}(0)f_{k}(x_{o}) \frac{\sigma^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + a_{k}' || f_{k}(x(t)) || \} + \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} ||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)|| \Rightarrow \\ ||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)|| &< \frac{1}{1-\frac{K}{N^{\alpha}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{ a_{k}(0)f_{k}(x_{o}) \frac{\sigma^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + a_{k}' || f_{k}(x(t)) || \} \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $x' \in C(I_{\sigma})$. Now if $a_k(0) = 0$ or $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(0)f(x_o) = 0$, then from (2.5) we obtain

$$\left|\left|\frac{d}{dt}x(t)\right|\right| < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a'_{k} \left|\left|f_{k}(x(t))\right|\right|, \quad t \in I \implies x' \in C(I).$$

Also from (2.5) we can get

$$||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)||_{X} < \frac{1}{1-\frac{K}{N^{\alpha}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{ a_{k}(0)f_{k}(x_{o}) + a_{k}' || f_{k}(x(t)) ||_{X} \} \frac{1}{N^{\alpha}} + \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} ||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)||_{X} \} \frac{1}{N^{\alpha}} + \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} ||\frac{d}{dt}x(t)||_{X} + \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} ||\frac$$

which implies that $x' \in X$.

Now let x(t) be the solution of the integral equation (2.3), then we have

$$x(t)|_{t=0} = x_o + (I^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)))|_{t=0} = x_o.$$

and

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = I^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = I^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt} I^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} I^{1-\alpha}I^{\alpha}\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t))$$

which proves the equivalence between the integral equation (2.3) and the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6) and completes the proof of the theorem.

Consider now the initial value problem (1.7). Let $D = \{x \in R : 0 < x \leq b\}$ and $f_k(x(t)) = x^k(t)$, then we have

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}(t) k x^{k-1}(t)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} k b^{k-1} = K, \text{ on } D, \forall t \in I.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 we can prove the following corollary;

Corollary 2.2 The initial value problem (1.7) (consequently the problem (1.8)) has a unique positive solution $x \in C(I)$, $x' \in C(I_{\sigma})$ and $x' \in X$. If $a'_{k}(t) \neq 0$ and $a_{k}(0) = 0$ or $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(0)x_{o}^{k} = 0$, then $x' \in C(I)$.

Now let $a_k(t) = a_k$ (independent of t) in (1.7), then we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3 Each of the initial value problems (1.9)-(1.11) has a unique positive solution $x \in C(I)$, $x' \in C(I_{\sigma})$ and $x' \in X$.

3 Lyapunov uniform stability

Consider the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6).

Definition 3.1 The solution of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) is stable if, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $t_o > 0$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon, t_o) > 0$ such that for $t \ge t_o$

$$|| x_o - x_o^* || < \delta(\epsilon, t_o) \quad \Rightarrow \quad || x(t) - x^*(t) || < \epsilon.$$

If δ depends only on ϵ , then the solution is uniformly stable, where $x^*(t)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(t) f_{k}(x(t)), \quad t > 0, \quad and \quad x(0) = x_{o}^{*}.$$
(3.1)

Now we have the following theorem;

Theorem 3.2 The solution of the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6) is uniformly stable.

Proof. Let x(t) and $x^*(t)$ are the solutions of the problems (1.5)-(1.6) and (3.1) respectively. Then we can get

$$|| x(t) - x^{*}(t) || \leq || x_{o} - x_{o}^{*} || + \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}} || x(t) - x^{*}(t) || \Rightarrow || x(t) - x^{*}(t) || \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{K}{N^{\alpha}}} || x_{o} - x_{o}^{*} ||, K < N^{\alpha},$$

from which (by definition 3.1) we deduce that the solution of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) is uniformly stable and the theorem is proved.

Now let $f_k(x(t)) = x^k(t)$, then the following corollary can be proved;

Corollary 3.3 The solution of the problem (1.7) consequently the solutions of the problems (1.3), (1.4) and (1.8)-(1.11) are uniformly stable.

4 Equilibrium points and local stability

Consider the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = F(x(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)), \quad t > 0 \text{ and } x(0) = x_o.$$
(4.1)

To evaluate the equilibrium points of (4.1) let

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = 0,$$

then the equilibrium points of the problem (4.1) are the solutions of the algebraic equation

$$F(x_{eq}) = 0.$$

To evaluate the asymptotic stability, let

$$x(t) = x_{eq} + \varepsilon(t)$$
, then $\frac{d}{dt}(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) = F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}\varepsilon(t) = F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon)$

but

$$F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \simeq F(x_{eq}) + F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon + \cdots \Rightarrow F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \simeq F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon$$

where $F(x_{eq}) = 0$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varepsilon(t) = F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon(t), \ t > 0, \ \text{and} \ \varepsilon(0) = x_o - x_{eq}.$$
(4.2)

Now let the solution $\varepsilon(t)$ of (4.2) be exists. So if $\varepsilon(t)$ is increasing, then the equilibrium point x_{eq} is unstable and if $\varepsilon(t)$ is decreasing, then the equilibrium point x_{eq} is locally asymptotically stable.

Now we have the following theorem;

Theorem 4.1 Let the solution $x \in C(I)$ of the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6) be exists. Then the equilibrium points of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) are the same as the ones of the problem (4.1) i.e., are the solutions of the algebraic equation

$$F(x(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the differential equation

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = F(x(t)).$$

Then from the properties of the fractional integration we have

$$I^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = F(x(t)) \implies I\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) \implies \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \frac{d}{dt}I^{\alpha}F(x(t)).$$

Also (note that $I^{\alpha}F(x(t))|_{t=0} = 0$) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \frac{d}{dt}I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) \implies I^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = I^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}I^{1-\alpha+\alpha}F(x(t)) = F(x(t)).$$

Then we deduce that the two differential equations

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = F(x(t))$$
 and $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \frac{d}{dt}I^{\alpha}F(x(t))$

are equivalent.

So the equilibrium points of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) are the solutions of the algebraic equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \frac{d}{dt}I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) = 0 \implies I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) = constant = C.$$

But

$$0 = I^{\alpha}F(x(t))|_{t=0} = C|_{t=0} \Rightarrow C = 0 \Rightarrow I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$IF(x(t)) = I^{1-\alpha}I^{\alpha}F(x(t)) = I^{1-\alpha} \ 0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{0}^{t}F(x(s)) \ ds = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(x) = 0.$$

and the equilibrium points of the problem (1.5)-(1.6) are the solutions of the algebraic equations

$$F(x_{eq}) = 0$$

which completes the proof.

Consider now the initial value problem (1.5)-(1.6)

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = F(x(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(t) f_k(x(t)), \quad t > 0 \text{ and } x(0) = x_o.$$

To evaluate the equilibrium points let

F(x(t)) = 0, then $F(x_{eq}) = 0$.

To evaluate the asymptotic stability, let

$$F(t) = x_{eq} + \varepsilon(t)$$
, then $D^{\alpha}(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) = F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \Rightarrow D^{\alpha} \varepsilon(t) = F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon)$

but

$$(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \simeq F(x_{eq}) + F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon + \cdots \Rightarrow F(x_{eq} + \varepsilon) \simeq F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon$$

where $F(x_{eq}) = 0$, then

F

$$D^{\alpha} \varepsilon(t) = F'(x_{eq}) \varepsilon(t), \ t > 0, \ \text{and} \ \varepsilon(0) = x_o - x_{eq}.$$
 (4.3)

Now let the solution $\varepsilon(t)$ of (4.3) be exists. So if $\varepsilon(t)$ is increasing, then the equilibrium point x_{eq} is unstable and if $\varepsilon(t)$ is decreasing, then the equilibrium point x_{eq} is locally asymptotically stable.

4.1 Law of mass action (second-order chemical reaction)

For the law of mass action (second-order chemical reaction) we find that the equilibrium points are a_1, a_2 . If $a_1 < a_2 \leq b$, we find that the local stability at $x_{eq} = a_1$ is asymptotical and at $x_{eq} = a_2$ is unstable. If $b \geq a_1 > a_2$, we find that the local stability at $x_{eq} = a_1$ is unstable and at $x_{eq} = a_2$ is asymptotical.

4.2 Law of mass action (third-order chemical reaction)

For the law of mass action (third-order chemical reaction we find that the equilibrium points are a_1 , a_2 , a_3 . If $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 \leq b$ or $b \geq a_1 > a_2 > a_3$, we find that the local stability at $x_{eq} = a_1$ is asymptotical, at $x_{eq} = a_2$ is unstable and at $x_{eq} = a_3$ is asymptotically stable.

References

- Sh. A. Abd El-Salam and A. M. A. El-Sayed, On the stability of some fractionalorder non-autonomous systems, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations Vol. 6, 1-14, (2007).
- [2] E. Ahmed, A. M. A. El-Sayed, E. M. El-Mesiry and H. A. A. El-Saka, Numerical solution for the fractional replicator equation, IJMPC, 19, 7, 1-9 (2005).
- [3] E. Ahmed, A. M. A. El-Sayed, and H. A. A. El-Saka, On some Routh-Hurwitz condition for fractional order differential equations and their application in Lorentz, Rosiler, Chua and Chen systems. Physics Letters A, 358, 1, (2006).
- [4] E. Ahmed, A. M. A. El-Sayed, and H. A. A. El-Saka, Equilibrium points, stability and numerical solutions of fractional-order predator-prey and rabies models J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 20 325-452 (2007).
- [5] H.A.A El-Saka, H.A.A, E. Ahmed, M.I. Shehata and A.M.A El-Sayed, On stability, persistence and Hopf biforcations fractional order dynamical systems Nonlinear Dynamics Vol. 56 121-126 (2009).

- [6] A.M.A. El-Sayed, F. M. Gaafar and H.H. Hashem, On the maximal and minimal solutions of arbitrary orders nonlinear functional integral and differential equations. Math Sci Res J 8(11) pp. 336-348 (2004).
- [7] A. M. A. El-Sayed, E. M. El-Mesiry and H. A. A. El-Saka, On the fractional-order logistic equation, Applied Math. Letters Vol. 20 (817-823)(2007).
- [8] R. Gorenflo and F.Mainardi, Fractional Calculus: Integral and Differential Equations of Fractional Order, in A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi (Eds), Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics, Springer, 223-276, 1997, Wien.
- [9] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, *Elsevier, North-Holland*,2006.
- [10] K. J. Laidler, J. H. Meiser and B. C. Sanctuary, Physical Chemistry Houghton Mifflin Comp. 4th. Ed. (2006).
- [11] Sh. Momany and N. Shawagfeh, Decomposition for solving fractional Ricati differential equations, Appl. Math. and Compt. (2006).
- [12] I. Podlubny and A. M. A. El-Sayed, On Two Definitions of Fractional Calculus, Solvak Academy of science-institute of experimental phys. UEF-03-96 ISBN, 80-7099-252-2 (1996).
- [13] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, (1999).